G.R.S. III-2]

Previous references.
Dis 5-23-34+35
Rm 11-23-95+97
Rm 11-23-74+104

(1924 Dis)

Dis **File No.** 14 - 23
Serial Nos. 43+44

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. Two

Part B for January 1925

SUBJECT

Re the excess grant of subsistence loans in the Koratagere sub Taluk

To

43+44) The Revenue No. 135/2 28/8/24.

Calls for explanation of officers responsible for exceeding the limit of granting subsistence allow

MM/17.1.25

G. R. S. III-2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 14 of 1923-24

Serial No. 43

From the Rev. Comr in Mysore

No. D 3 of 24-25. dated 16.8.24

Forwards copy of letter from the Dy Comr Tumkur dt. and recommends that (1) the loans issued in Several talukas other than Maddagere & Kunigal out of Several allotments different from those for the subsistence loans and (2) out of the gross amount Paid in Kunigal Tk over Rs 5000/- Sanctioned twice for may be treated as subsistence loans under the Govt Order stated therein.

The Dy Comr has issued the subsistence loans out of allotments for Taccavi Land Improvement loans.

In the Kunigal Taluk only the amount thus issued comes up to Rs 3840/- & the amounts issued in Several of the Talukas in have not been known.

The retrospective sanctioning of the loans as the Dy Comr has done is not favoured by Govt as expressed in letter no 962/D 5-23-35 of 4.9.24

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1-8-22

G. R. S. III. 2]

Muzrai Secretariat

Muz. File No. N 14 of 1923-24.

Serial No.

The Rev Carr Further information on the amount due should perhaps be awaited before any steps will be taken on file reference to what is

18.8.24.

Copy put up

18.8.24.

There are two points in Correspondence noted in the letter of the Rev. Carr.

(1). Grant of an additional allotment of Rs 3840 for Subsistence Loans in the Kottagiri Sub Taluk. In para 79 of G.O. of 7th Dec 1923 (Ft X) a Sum of Rs 5000 Each was Sanctioned for the Nilgiris along with others and Some others for the grant of Subsistence Loans, para 2 whereof in very deserving Cases the Dy Carr says that in this Sub Taluk a Sum of Rs 8840 has been granted for Subsistence Loans & as such regarding Sanction for this Excess Expenditure under this head is sought for. No Explanation

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561—GPH—3750—1-8-22

Serial No.

is forthcoming as to why this additional ~~to~~ Subsistence Loan was granted without taking previous Sanction of Govt., & who was responsible to exceeding the limit fixed by Govt. This explanation may perhaps be called for before Sanction additional allotment asked for

2) The second point raised is that Certain loans granted by the Dy Comr at 3% interest as Subsistence Loans in Talukas other than those mentioned in the G.O. of 7th Dec 1923, should be approved. What has happened is that loans out of the Takavi & Land Impt Loans Provision have been made in Talukas other than Maddagiri & Koratagere at 3% interest. ~~the Dy Comr & the Rev Comr seem~~ the Dy Comr calls it 'Subsistence Loan'. The Comrs asked the Dy Comr to treat them as loans on account of Distress. The Dy Comr & the Rev Comr have fallen into the mistake of thinking that by this designation, the rate of interest chargable would be raised to 5% (vide para 2 of Rs letter) & hence insist upon the

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1-8-22

4

Serial No.

approval by Govt. of the same being treated as Subsistence Loans. It will be seen from Rule III under Land Impt. Loans Regulation that Loans for the Relief of Distress are charged 3% interest only. Hence the suggestion made by the Comptr is quite in order. As stated already there is a confusion in the mind of the Dy Comptr in thinking that Distress Loans should be charged at 1%, and that in treating the loan at 3% as Subsistence Loan. Subsistence Loan bears, as a matter of fact, no interest. The R.E. may be asked to accept the suggestion of the Comptr

U.S.M.

Rev Srey. Please put up a draft on the lines indicated in para

22/8

Draft letter to R.E. Mysore

Fair 26/8/22 — Sd/- illegible

Rev Srey. Draft for Govt sanction

Decision

27-8

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1-8-22

V.V.

28-8

28-8

G. R. S. III-2]

Muzrai Secretariat

~~Muz~~. File No. of 192 3-Rev

Serial No.

44

To

The Revenue Commr in mysore

No. 136/D dated 28.8.24.

calls for the explanation of the officer responsible for exceeding the limit of granting Subsistence loans in the Kavalagere Tk and for the details of the amounts & locality in which the Subsistence loans in the taluks were granted at 3%

From

The Revenue Commr.

No DS/24-25 dated 26.9.24

furnishes the information called for in this office letter dated 28.8.24 stating that there is no explanation of either the D.C. or the Deputy Tahsildars for having exceeded the limit for the grant of Subsistance loans or for having granted Subsistance loans not granted in all Taluks with these loans are to Subsistance orders

Dakani & D. Adhs

but at 3%

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1-8-22

27/9/24

[redacted] and Revenue Secretariat.

DIS File No. 14 of 1923-24

Serial No.

DEWAN'S OFFICE. No. 01 1. OCT 1924 Sg. D. 26249

The cheque taken out under the rules for Land Improvement Takavi loans. No special sanction of Govt is necessary. The draft letter that is now sent by the Rev. Com. to Govt. is sent with the Comptr's objection in case perhaps the authorities in the Rev. Com. may perhaps be told so.

Rev. Secy. For perusal.

Comptr. may be informed accordingly.

Dewan

The Collector's letter may be seen. The amounts may be verified with reference to the Register of Sanctions and Loans.

2.10

15th draft letter to R.C. is submitted as per Dewan's minute above and the instructions of the Rev. Secy on 15.10.24; for approval.

16/10/24

To. The Rev. Com.

Memo 937/D dated 17.10.24.

Calls for the explanation of the Revenue officers concerned for having exceeded the grants for subsistence loans in numerous

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

G.R.S. III-2.

D 2963
R

B65WD.0531

File No. 14 of 1923-25

General Revenue Secretariat.

In view of the observations made by Govt in the Sd. Letter dt. 9.1.25 (vide linked file), it is for orders if this file may not be recorded. It has already been observed that the D-C's action was very irregular.

14/1/25

14.1.25

Serial No.

may be filed as the whole subject has been dealt with there.

16.1.25.

B

2.1.25

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

9847

No. D. 3 [illegible]
Enc 2

Office of the Revenue Commissioner
in Mysore,
Bangalore, the 16th August 1924.

From

K. Chandy, Esquire, B.A.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

K.V. Anantaraman, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department, B a n g a l o r e.

Sir,

I have the honour to forward herewith copy of letter No. D.C.1840 of 22-23 dated 1st August 1924 from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, stating that though Government sanctioned the grant of subsistence loans to the extent of Rs.5,000/- only to Maddagiri and Koratagere (Sub) Taluks each in their order No.2582-93/R.M.11-23-104 dated 7th December 1923, yet these loans at 3 per cent. interest have been sanctioned in many of the other Taluks also out of the several allotments sanctioned for Takavi and Land Improvement loans during 1923-24 owing to unfavourable seasonal conditions. Again in the Koratagere Sub Taluk, a sum of Rs.8,840 has been given out as subsistence loans as against a sum of Rs.5,000 sanctioned for the Sub Taluk. The Comptroller has taken objection to this and has asked the Deputy Commissioner to treat all subsistence loans issued in the Taluks other than Maddagiri and Koratagere as loans on account of Distress and to obtain sanction of Government for the excess grant of subsistence loans in the Koratagere Sub Taluk.

The Deputy Commissioner however states that the other Taluks, in which subsistence loans were given, were also affected and that the

[illegible] wants thereof were in need of Government help [illegible]
[illegible] and that these loans may be treated as subsistance [illegible],
as they would otherwise carry 5 per cent in case they are con-
verted into loans on account of distress and that the amount
granted in the Konotagane sub taluk as subsistence loans in
excess of the amount sanctioned by Government may also be treated
as subsistence loans.

In the circumstances, I request that Government may be
pleased to sanction the Deputy Commissioner's recommendation
in the matter.

I have also asked him to furnish further information as to
the names of the other taluks in which such loans have been
given and the total amount so granted.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

[illegible]
Assistant Secretary.
For Secretary to Commissioner,
Land Revenue.

2/2

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

(17)

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, *the* 191 .

Date of issue 28.8.24

Signed by M T A

Section ~~4 36~~ D5
File No. 16-23
Serial No. 44

No. 136/D

To The Revenue in

Sir,

with reference to your letter no D3 dated the 16th Aug 1924 regarding the excess grant of Subsistence loans in the Kanatapere Sub-taluk etc I am directed to request you to obtain the explanation

over.

of the officer responsible for having exceeded the limit fixed by you in granting subsistence loans in the Kuntagod sub-division without obtaining for the orders of Govt. As regards the Shr talukas in which subsistence loans have been granted at 3 p.c. I am directed to request you to specify the talukas in which it was granted, the amounts so spent, with the explanation of the officers concerned.

I am also to obtain that subsistence loans or loans from work of this kind are to be dealt under B. IV in part I of the Rules relating to Loans (vide para 177 of VS III of C.L.R. Revised Manual) & the City Engineer and P.C. and Rule III

No. 8. III.

Rs 27 8

Receipt to first

Sabr.

W.F.

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

17132

400
Dis

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

14

No. D5/Misc
Conf

Bangalore, 26th September 1924

FROM



K. CHANDY ESQ., B.A.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue *Department.*

SIR

Adverting to your letter No.136/D.14-23 dated 28th August 1924 Re. excess grant of subsistence loans in Koratagere Sub Taluk etc, I have the honour to forward herewith copy of letter No.O.C.1840/22-23 dated 17th September 1924 from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District reporting that out of the sum of Rs.5000/- sanctioned for Koratagere Sub Taluk for grant of subsistence loans, a sum of Rs.1115/- was loaned out free of interest and that the balance of Rs.3885/- was given out at 3% interest and, that the sum of Rs. 3840/- mentioned in the Comptroller's letter was paid out of the Takavi Land Improvement grants placed at the Amildar's disposal, charging 3% interest treating as Takavi loans under B(iv) in the table given under Rule I of the loan Rules. Again in the Maddagiri Taluk a sum of Rs.5000/- was issued for subsistence loans free of interest.

On the whole a sum of Rs. 56,277-8-0 is reported to have been granted as subsistence loans charging 3% interest.

P.T.O.

Tumkur	Rs. 3,045-0-0	
Maddagiri	Rs. 19,705-0-0	
Chiknaikanhalli	Rs. 340-0-0	interest as noted
Sira	Rs. 555-0-0	
Gubbi	Rs. 18,455-0-0	
Tiptur	Rs. 462-8-0	in the margin.
Pavagada	Rs. 4,875-0-0	
Koratagere	Rs. 8,840-0-0	
	Rs. 56,277-8-0	

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Assistant Commissioner,
For Revenue Commissioner,
(Absent on Casual Leave)

M.A.N.

Letter No.1840 dated 17th September 1924 from the Deputy Commissioner,Tumkur District to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

With reference to your docket No.D.3/24-25 dated the 6th September 1924 calling for the explantion of the officer responsible for having exceeded the limit fixed by Government for granting subsistence loans in the Koratagere Sub Taluk and also to furnish the exact amount of subsistence loans granted at 3% interest in the other Taluks I have the honour to forward herewith the explantion received from the Deputy Amildar of the Koratagere Sub Taluk. He states that out of the grant of Rs.5000/- placed at his disposal for grant of subsistence loans free of interest only a sum of Rs.1115/- was sanctioned free of interest and the balance of Rs.3885/- was granted after charging 3% interest.

2. As for the excess of Rs.3840/- over Rs.5000/- mentioned in the Comptroller's letter No.71/Loans dated 23rd July 1924 the Deputy Amildar reports that this amount was paid out of the allotment placed at his disposal for the grant of Takavi and Land Improvement loans charging 3% interest treating as Takavi loan under B (iv) in the table given under Rule I of the loan Rules. Thus the amount granted free of interest in the Koratagere Sub Taluk is only Rs.1115/-. The amount of subsistence loans granted in the other taluks charging 3% interest is noted in the margin.

Tumkur	Rs. 3,045-0-0
Maddagiri	Rs. 19,705-0-0
Chiknaikanhalli	Rs. 340-0-0
Sira	Rs. 555-0-0
Gubbi	Rs. 18,455-0-0
Tiptur	Rs. 462-8-0
Pavagada	Rs. 4,875-0-0
Kunigal	--
Koratagere	Rs. 8,840-0-0
Turuvekere	---
Total	Rs. 56,277-8-0

Of this amount Rs.5000/- and Rs.1115/- in the Maddagiri

Taluk and Koratagere Sub Taluk respectively, are granted as subsitence loans free of interest.

"C C P Y"

26/9/04

For Revenue Commissioner.

MAN.

G. R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

237 dated 17 10 24
D

To

The Revenue Commr-in Mysore

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No D 5/24-25 dated the 26th septr 1924, I am directed to state that under rule B IV – Paras I & III of the rules relating to loans, subsistence loans at 3 o/o should be given in taluks where the Govt have recognized the prevalence of distress and that such loans were ordered to be issued to the extent of Rs 5000/-

WD 2230 –GPB—1,00,000—23-7-20

in each of the Taluks of
Maddagiri and Kunigal (Sub)
only, as per para 7 of G.O. no
R 258.Z-98/RII II-93-104 dated
7-12-93. I am to point out that
the amount of subsistence loans
now reported to have been
sanctioned comes up to such
a large figure as Rs 56,277-0-0
which was not sanctioned by the
Govt. and to request you to be
so good as to obtain &
forward the explanation of
the Revenue Officers concerned for having
exceeded the grant and ~~also for~~ to have
~~having also~~ given such loans
without previous sanction of Govt
in taluks other than the two
specified above.

I have etc

17.10.94

Rev secy to Govt